



62-21-09

#11
3643
Peta

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

GRANTED;
OK TO
ENTER
RESPONSE
8/27/03

In Re the Application of:

MICKE et al.

Serial No.: 10/005,797

Filed: November 2, 2001

Atty. File No.: 3957-8-DIV

For: "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
REMOVING OBSTRUCTIONS IN
MINES"

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

) Group Art Unit: 3643

) Examiner: Price, Richard Thomas Jr.

) REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION OF
) AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

"EXPRESS MAIL" MAILING LABEL NUMBER: EV 331292390 US
DATE OF DEPOSIT: 7/18/03

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
"EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" SERVICE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10 ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE AND IS
ADDRESSED TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX
1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME: Amy S. Duarte

SIGNATURE: *Amy S. Duarte*

Dear Sir:

On July 11, 2003, the office of Applicants' attorney was contacted by the Examiner regarding the status of a reply to the Office Action having a mailing date of December 3, 2002. In particular, the Examiner indicated that the Patent Office had no record of having received a reply to that Office Action.

On February 21, 2003, an Amendment and Response in reply to the Office Action of December 3, 2002, was mailed to the Patent Office under a Certificate of Mailing in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.8. A postcard receipt submitted to the Patent Office with the Amendment and Response, and date stamped by the OIPE on February 21, 2003, was received by the office of the Applicants' attorneys on March 6, 2003, and evidences the timely filing of the Amendment and Response (see Exhibit A). The accompanying Declaration of Aimee Thuerk and the attachments thereto also evidence the timely filing of the Amendment and Response (see Exhibit B). A copy of the Amendment and Response submitted on February 21, 2003 is submitted herewith (see Exhibit C).

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the Amendment and Response originally submitted on February 21, 2003, be considered timely filed. Although no fees are believed due in connection with the filing of this request, please charge any fees deemed necessary to Deposit Account No. 19-1970.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

By: 

Bradley M. Knepper
Registration No. 44,189
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200
Denver, Colorado 80202-5141
(303) 863-9700

Date: July 18, 2003



RECEIVED
JUL 23 2003
GROUP 3600
Date 2/21/03

Initial: AM1*

PTO Stamp indicates receipt of: ☒ Patent Matter ☐ Trademark Matter

Application Docket No.: 3957-8-DIV

Applicant: MICKE et al.

Title or Mark: "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR REMOVING OBSTRUCTIONS IN MINES"

Serial/Reg. No.: 10/005,797

Filed/Issued Date: November 2, 2001

☐ Certificate of Mailing

☒ Express Mail No.: EL923668676US

☒ Check for \$180.00



RECEIVED
MAR 06 2003
SHERIDAN, ROSS

_____	# of Pages in Specification
_____	# of Pages in Claims
_____	# of Sheets in Drawings
_____	# of Pages in Seq. Listing

LIST ALL DOCUMENTS BEING SENT TO PATENT OFFICE:

Amendment and Response; Information Disclosure Statement; PTO Form 1449; copies of three references

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re the Application of:

MICKE et al.

Serial No.: 10/005,797

Filed: November 2, 2001

Atty. File No.: 3957-8-DIV

For: "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
REMOVING OBSTRUCTIONS IN
MINES"

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

Dear Sir:

I, Aimee M. Thurek, declare and state as follows:

1. I am a secretary employed by Sheridan Ross P.C., the attorneys of record for the above-referenced application.
2. On February 21, 2003, I prepared an Amendment and Response for signature by Bradley M. Knepper.
3. Following Mr. Knepper's signature on the Amendment and Response, I also signed the Certificate of Mailing. I then prepared a stamped, self-addressed return postcard for mailing to the Patent Office. I placed the completed Amendment and Response and completed return postcard in an Express Mail envelope addressed to Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, and sealed the envelope. Copies of the February 21, 2003 Amendment and Response (Exhibit A), postcard (Exhibit B), and Express Mail receipt (Exhibit C) are attached. The postcard identifies the Amendment and Response and additional documents submitted with the Amendment



) Group Art Unit: 3643

) Examiner: Price, Richard Thomas Jr.


) DECLARATION OF AIMEE M. THUERK
) IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST FOR
) CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENT AND
) RESPONSE

"EXPRESS MAIL" MAILING LABEL NUMBER: EV331292390 US
DATE OF DEPOSIT: 7/18/03

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
"EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" SERVICE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10 ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE AND IS
ADDRESSED TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX
1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME: Amy S. Thurek

SIGNATURE: *Amy S. Thurek*



and Response, namely, an Information Disclosure Statement, PTO Form 1449, and copies of references.

4. Pursuant to Sheridan Ross P.C.'s procedures, I then personally placed the envelope in the mail department at Sheridan Ross P.C. prior to 5:00 p.m. for Express Mail postage processing and deposit with the United States Post Office.

5. The return postcard, date stamped by the OIPE on February 21, 2003, was received by Sheridan Ross P.C. on March 6, 2003. A copy of the date stamped postcard is attached as Exhibit B.

Date: 7-18-03



Aimee M. Thurek

EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re the Application of:

MICKE et al.

Serial No.: 10/005,797

Filed: November 2, 2001

Atty. File No.: 3957-8-DIV

For: "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
REMOVING OBSTRUCTIONS IN
MINES"

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Applicant submits this Amendment and Response to address the Office Action having a mailing date of December 3, 2002. Although the Applicant believes that no fees are due for filing this Amendment and Response, please charge any fees deemed necessary to Deposit Account No. 19-1970. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the claims are respectfully requested in view of the following amendments and remarks:

Group Art Unit: 3643

Examiner: Price, Richard Thomas Jr.

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

"EXPRESS MAIL" MAILING LABEL NUMBER: EL923668676US
DATE OF DEPOSIT: 2/21/03

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS WITH THE UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE "EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO
ADDRESSEE" SERVICE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10 ON THE DATE
INDICATED ABOVE AND IS ADDRESSED TO THE ASSISTANT
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME: Aimee M. Price

SIGNATURE: *Aimee M. Price*

RECEIVED
JUL 23 2003
GROUP 3600



AMENDMENTS

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend Claim 1 and add new Claims 37-39 as follows:

1. (Once Amended) A system for launching a projectile to remove a body of rock in an excavation, comprising:

a projectile that includes:

a nose, the nose being one of substantially flat and concave to inhibit deflection of the projectile from a face of the rock;

a body containing an explosive charge; and

a tail having a plurality of fins to control the trajectory of the projectile;

and

a tube for launching the projectile.

37. (New) The system of Claim 1, wherein said nose is concave.

38. (New) The system of Claim 1, wherein said nose is substantially flat.

39. (New) The system of Claim 1, wherein said nose has a diameter that is about equal to a maximum diameter of said projectile.

REMARKS

The above-identified patent application has been reviewed in light of the Examiner's Action dated December 3, 2002. In the amendments set forth above, Claim 1 has been amended without intending to abandon or to dedicate to the public any patentable subject matter, and without narrowing the claim, Claims 37-39 are new, and no claims have been canceled. Accordingly, Claims 1-8 and 37-39 are now pending. As set out more fully below, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the claims are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,485,787 to Bowcutt et al. ("Bowcutt"). In order to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103, there must be some suggestion or motivation to modify the reference, there must be a reasonable expectation of success, and the prior art reference must teach or suggest all of the claim limitations. (MPEP §2143). It is submitted that a *prima facie* case to reject Claims 1-8 has not been established. In particular, and as discussed more fully below, Bowcutt does not teach, suggest or disclose at least the feature of a projectile having a nose that is one of substantially flat and concave. Accordingly, for at least this reason, Claims 1-8 are not obvious in view of Bowcutt.

The Bowcutt reference is generally directed to a gas gun launched scramjet test projectile. The test projectile discussed by Bowcutt is designed to travel at velocities greater than Mach 5. The projectile is propulsion-assisted and is used to enable the simulation of flow physics and the acquisition of performance data that correlates directly to those of a scramjet powered vehicle. (Bowcutt, col. 4, lns. 5-7). Accordingly, the projectile discussed by Bowcutt is concerned with

the integration of the air frame and propulsion systems for vehicles or projectiles traveling at supersonic and hypersonic speeds. (See Bowcutt, col. 1, lns. 27-30). The projectile illustrated by Bowcutt includes a nose cap 101 secured to the forebody 102 to form an external surface that extends from the projectile nose tip 103 rearwardly to the vicinity of the leading edge of the cowl 110. (Bowcutt, col. 5, lns. 5-9). Because the projectile 100 is intended to travel at velocities greater than Mach 5 (Bowcutt Abstract, Claim 1), and because parameters that include the forebody and inlet contraction ratios, the inlet efficiency, etc., impacts the performance of ramjet and scramjet systems (Bowcutt, col. 1, ln. 65 to col. 2, ln. 3), there is no teaching, suggestion or disclosure of a substantially flat or concave nose as recited by the pending claims. In addition, because removal of the nose 101 would foreshorten the compression surface S and leave an unfinished threaded portion T, Bowcutt cannot be understood as teaching, suggesting or disclosing operation of that reference's projectile without the tip 101. (Bowcutt, col. 4, ln. 63 to col. 5, ln. 9; Fig. 1). Furthermore, because Bowcutt is concerned with propelling a projectile at velocities of greater than Mach 5, Bowcutt teaches away from a projectile with a nose that is substantially flat or concave, or a nose that is adapted to inhibit deflection of the projectile from a face of rock in an excavation.

For the reasons set forth above, the Bowcutt reference does not teach, suggest or disclose a system for launching a projectile to remove a body of rock in an excavation as claimed. Furthermore, the Bowcutt reference teaches away from specific structural aspects of the claimed system. Accordingly, the rejections of Claims 1-8 should be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Application No. 10/055,797


New Claims 37-39 depend from Claim 1, and recite specific projectile nose configurations disclosed by the specification. Therefore, Claims 37-39 are allowable for at least the same reasons that Claim 1 is allowable. Claims 37-39 do not add new matter.

Attached hereto is a marked up version of the changes made to the claims by the current amendment, captioned "VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE."

Based upon the foregoing, Applicants believe that all pending claims are in condition for allowance and such disposition is respectfully requested. In the event that a telephone conversation would further prosecution and/or expedite allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

By: 
Bradley M. Knepper
Registration No. 44,189
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200
Denver, Colorado 80202-5141
(303) 863-9700

Date: February 21, 2003



VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

Claim 1 has been amended as follows:

1. (Once Amended) A system for launching a projectile to remove a body of rock in an excavation, comprising:

a projectile that includes:

a nose, the nose being one of substantially flat and concave to inhibit deflection of the projectile from a face of the rock;

a body containing an explosive charge; and

a tail having a plurality of [transversely oriented] fins to control the trajectory of the projectile; and

a tube for launching the projectile.

Claims 37-39 are new.

RECEIVED
JUL 23 2003
GROUP 3600

EXHIBIT B



RECEIVED
JUL 23 2003
GROUP 3600
Date 2/21/03

Initial: AM1

PTO Stamp indicates receipt of:

☒ Patent Matter

☐ Trademark Matter

Application Docket No.: 3957-8-DIV

Applicant: MICKE et al.

Title or Mark: "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR REMOVING OBSTRUCTIONS IN MINES"

Serial/Reg. No.: 10/005,797

Filed/Issued Date: November 2, 2001

☐ Certificate of Mailing

☒ Express Mail No.: EL923668676US

☒ Check for \$180.00



of Pages in Specification

of Pages in Claims

of Sheets in Drawings

of Pages in Seq. Listing

LIST ALL DOCUMENTS BEING SENT TO PATENT OFFICE:

Amendment and Response; Information Disclosure Statement; PTO Form 1449; copies of three references

EXHIBIT C



EXPRESS MAIL
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

**POST OFFICE
TO ADDRESSEE**

ORIGIN (POSTAL USE ONLY)

PO ZIP Code 80202	Day of Delivery Next <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Second <input type="checkbox"/>	Flat Rate Envelope <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Date In Mo. Day Year 2 21 03	Postage \$ 1365	Return Receipt Fee \$
Time In Mo. Day Year 19 46	Military <input type="checkbox"/> 12 Noon <input type="checkbox"/> 3 PM <input type="checkbox"/>	COD Fee <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance Fee <input type="checkbox"/>
Weight Lbs. Ozs. 8	Int'l Alpha Country Code Military <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Day <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Day <input type="checkbox"/>	Total Postage & Fees \$ 1365
No Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> West <input type="checkbox"/> Holiday <input type="checkbox"/>	Acceptance Clerk Initials WHR	

CUSTOMER USE ONLY

METHOD OF PAYMENT:
Express Mail Corporate Acct. No.:

FROM: (PLEASE PRINT)

PHONE ()

SHERIDAN ROSS PC
1560 BROADWAY STE 1200
DENVER CO 80202-5145

3957-8-DIV AMT



EL 92366676



SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR USPS
SERVICE GUARANTEE AND LIMITS
ON INSURANCE COVERAGE

☐ **WAIVER OF SIGNATURE** (Domestic Only): Additional merchandise insurance is void if waiver of signature is requested. With delivery to be made without obtaining signature of addressee or addressee's agent (if delivery employee judges that article can be left in secure location) and I authorize that delivery employee's signature constitutes valid proof of delivery.

☐ **NO DELIVERY**: ☐ Weekend ☐ Holiday

Customer Signature

Federal Agency Acct. No. or
Postal Service Acct. No.

TO: (PLEASE PRINT)

PHONE ()

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
AND TRADEMARKS
WASHINGTON DC 20231-0001

FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING CALL 1-800-222-1811 WWW.USPS.COM

RECEIVED
JUL 23 2003
DUP 3600

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE
JUL 18 2003

Custom r Copy
Label 11-F August 2000

F02
T12

432

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re the Application of:

MICKE et al.

Serial No.: 10/005,797

Filed: November 2, 2001

Atty. File No.: 3957-8-DIV

For: "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
REMOVING OBSTRUCTIONS IN
MINES"

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Applicant submits this Amendment and Response to address the Office Action having a mailing date of December 3, 2002. Although the Applicant believes that no fees are due for filing this Amendment and Response, please charge any fees deemed necessary to Deposit Account No. 19-1970. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the claims are respectfully requested in view of the following amendments and remarks:

Group Art Unit: 3643

Examiner: Price, Richard Thomas

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE


"EXPRESS MAIL" MAILING LABEL NUMBER: EL923668676US
DATE OF DEPOSIT: 2/21/03

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS WITH THE UNITED STATES
POSTAL SERVICE "EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO
ADDRESSEE" SERVICE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10 ON THE DATE
INDICATED ABOVE AND IS ADDRESSED TO THE ASSISTANT
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231.

TYPED OR PRINTED NAME: Aimee M. Thuerk

SIGNATURE: *Aimee M. Thuerk*

RECEIVED
JUL 23 2003
GROUP 3600



AMENDMENTS

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please amend Claim 1 and add new Claims 37-39 as follows:

1. (Once Amended) A system for launching a projectile to remove a body of rock in an excavation, comprising:

a projectile that includes:

a nose, the nose being one of substantially flat and concave to inhibit deflection of the projectile from a face of the rock;

a body containing an explosive charge; and

a tail having a plurality of fins to control the trajectory of the projectile;

and

a tube for launching the projectile.

37. (New) The system of Claim 1, wherein said nose is concave.

38. (New) The system of Claim 1, wherein said nose is substantially flat.

39. (New) The system of Claim 1, wherein said nose has a diameter that is about equal to a maximum diameter of said projectile.

REMARKS

The above-identified patent application has been reviewed in light of the Examiner's Action dated December 3, 2002. In the amendments set forth above, Claim 1 has been amended without intending to abandon or to dedicate to the public any patentable subject matter, and without narrowing the claim, Claims 37-39 are new, and no claims have been canceled. Accordingly, Claims 1-8 and 37-39 are now pending. As set out more fully below, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the claims are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,485,787 to Bowcutt et al. ("Bowcutt"). In order to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103, there must be some suggestion or motivation to modify the reference, there must be a reasonable expectation of success, and the prior art reference must teach or suggest all of the claim limitations. (MPEP §2143). It is submitted that a *prima facie* case to reject Claims 1-8 has not been established. In particular, and as discussed more fully below, Bowcutt does not teach, suggest or disclose at least the feature of a projectile having a nose that is one of substantially flat and concave. Accordingly, for at least this reason, Claims 1-8 are not obvious in view of Bowcutt.

The Bowcutt reference is generally directed to a gas gun launched scramjet test projectile. The test projectile discussed by Bowcutt is designed to travel at velocities greater than Mach 5. The projectile is propulsion-assisted and is used to enable the simulation of flow physics and the acquisition of performance data that correlates directly to those of a scramjet powered vehicle. (Bowcutt, col. 4, lns. 5-7). Accordingly, the projectile discussed by Bowcutt is concerned with

the integration of the air frame and propulsion systems for vehicles or projectiles traveling at supersonic and hypersonic speeds. (See Bowcutt, col. 1, lns. 27-30). The projectile illustrated by Bowcutt includes a nose cap 101 secured to the forebody 102 to form an external surface that extends from the projectile nose tip 103 rearwardly to the vicinity of the leading edge of the cowl 110. (Bowcutt, col. 5, lns. 5-9). Because the projectile 100 is intended to travel at velocities greater than Mach 5 (Bowcutt Abstract, Claim 1), and because parameters that include the forebody and inlet contraction ratios, the inlet efficiency, etc., impacts the performance of ramjet and scramjet systems (Bowcutt, col. 1, ln. 65 to col. 2, ln. 3), there is no teaching, suggestion or disclosure of a substantially flat or concave nose as recited by the pending claims. In addition, because removal of the nose 101 would foreshorten the compression surface S and leave an unfinished threaded portion T, Bowcutt cannot be understood as teaching, suggesting or disclosing operation of that reference's projectile without the tip 101. (Bowcutt, col. 4, ln. 63 to col. 5, ln. 9; Fig. 1). Furthermore, because Bowcutt is concerned with propelling a projectile at velocities of greater than Mach 5, Bowcutt teaches away from a projectile with a nose that is substantially flat or concave, or a nose that is adapted to inhibit deflection of the projectile from a face of rock in an excavation.

For the reasons set forth above, the Bowcutt reference does not teach, suggest or disclose a system for launching a projectile to remove a body of rock in an excavation as claimed. Furthermore, the Bowcutt reference teaches away from specific structural aspects of the claimed system. Accordingly, the rejections of Claims 1-8 should be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Application No. 10/055,797


New Claims 37-39 depend from Claim 1, and recite specific projectile nose configurations disclosed by the specification. Therefore, Claims 37-39 are allowable for at least the same reasons that Claim 1 is allowable. Claims 37-39 do not add new matter.

Attached hereto is a marked up version of the changes made to the claims by the current amendment, captioned "VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE."

Based upon the foregoing, Applicants believe that all pending claims are in condition for allowance and such disposition is respectfully requested. In the event that a telephone conversation would further prosecution and/or expedite allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

By: 
Bradley M. Knepper
Registration No. 44,189
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200
Denver, Colorado 80202-5141
(303) 863-9700

Date: February 21, 2003



VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

Claim 1 has been amended as follows:

1. (Once Amended) A system for launching a projectile to remove a body of rock in an excavation, comprising:

a projectile that includes:

a nose, the nose being one of substantially flat and concave to inhibit deflection of the projectile from a face of the rock;

a body containing an explosive charge; and

a tail having a plurality of [transversely oriented] fins to control the trajectory of the projectile; and

a tube for launching the projectile.

Claims 37-39 are new.

RECEIVED
JUL 23 2003
GROUP 3600